

Docket No.: 282464US8X

## COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/633,172

Applicants: Wilhelm HAGG, et al.

Filing Date: August 1, 2003

For: BUS SERVICE INTERFACE

Group Art Unit: 2112

Examiner: PATEL, NIMESH G.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## **PROVISIONAL ELECTION**

Our credit card payment form in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Bradley D. Lytle

Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) EMITYULIZBZ484USQBZ48US\_SHORTPTOCOVERLTR.DOC OBLON
SPIVAK

**McClelland** 

MAIER

NEUSTADT

P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

BRADLEY D. LYTLE (703) 412-6489 BLYTLE@OBLON.COM DOCKET NO: 282464US8X



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

WILHELM HAGG, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: PATEL, NIMESH G.

SERIAL NO: 10/633,172

:

FILED: AUGUST 1, 2003

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2112

FOR: BUS SERVICE INTERFACE

## PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election requirement dated May 18, 2006, Applicant provisionally elects, with traverse, Species I, identified in the outstanding Official Action for further examination on the merits. Applicant identifies Claims 1-6 and 13 as readable on the elected species. Applicant reserves the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected species.

Furthermore, while the Election Requirement asserts that the application contains claims to patentably distinct species, MPEP § 803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Although the outstanding Official Action does not identify search classifications, it is believed that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in a handful of sub-classes. Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional

Application No. 10/633,172 Reply to Office Action of May 18, 2006

effort. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the Election Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single species be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-13 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

hur

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/03)

Bradley D. Lytle Attorney of Record Registration No. 40,073

I:\atty\JL\282464us\282464US\_ProvElect(species)wtraverse.doc